UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION,

Petitioner,

v.

LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.,

Respondent.

Case No.

PETITION TO ENFORCE CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

- 1. On June 23, 2017, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) issued a civil investigative demand (CID) to the Law Offices of Crystal Moroney, P.C. (LOCM). The CID was issued as part of a nonpublic investigation to determine whether debt collectors, furnishers, or other persons in connection with collection of debt and furnishing of information have engaged or are engaging in unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (CFPA), 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536; or have violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq., or the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., and its implementing regulation. The CID required the production of answers to interrogatories, written reports, tangible things, and documents by July 21, 2017.
- 2. On July 14, 2017, LOCM stated that it would begin to produce responsive materials but requested modifications to the CID, including an extension of the deadline to respond. On July 25, 2017, the Bureau granted this request by extending

LOCM's deadline to complete its production to October 2, 2017, with interim production dates of August 28, 2017, and September 15, 2017.

- 3. LOCM has since indicated on numerous occasions that it does not intend to fully comply with the modified CID and, to date, has not. Accordingly, the Bureau petitions this Court for an order requiring LOCM to comply with the CID.
- 4. In support thereof, the Bureau submits the accompanying Memorandum of Law and Declaration of E. Vanessa Assae-Bille. In further support, the Bureau alleges:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under § 1052(e)(1) of the CFPA.

 12 U.S.C. § 5562(e)(1).
- 6. Venue is proper because LOCM resides, is found, and transacts business in New City, New York, which is in this district. 12 U.S.C. § 5562(e)(1).

PARTIES

- 7. The Bureau is an administrative agency of the United States. 12 U.S.C. § 5491(a).
- 8. LOCM is a law firm that collects debt and furnishes information relating to consumers to consumer reporting agencies in the ordinary course of business.

Service of the CID and LOCM's Failure to Comply

9. Section 1052(c) of the CFPA empowers the Bureau to issue a CID whenever it has reason to believe that "any person . . . may have any information[] relevant to a violation" of "Federal consumer financial law." 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c)(1). A CID issued by the Bureau may, among other things, require the recipient to respond

to interrogatories, provide written reports, produce documents, and submit tangible things. *Id*.

- 10. In this district, judicial enforcement of an administrative civil investigative demand is appropriate provided (1) the investigation will be conducted pursuant to a legitimate purpose, (2) the inquiry may be relevant to the purpose, (3) the information sought is not already within the Bureau's possession, and (4) the agency has followed the administrative steps required to issue civil investigative demands. *United States v. Constr. Prods. Research, Inc.*, 73 F.3d 464, 471 (2d Cir. 1996) (citations omitted). *See also United States v. Morton Salt*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950).
- 11. As set forth in section 1052(c) of the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. § 5562(c), and its implementing regulation, 12 C.F.R. § 1080, the administrative steps required to issue a civil investigative demand were followed. On June 23, 2017, a Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement issued the CID. The CID was served on LOCM's registered agent via certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested, with a return date of July 21, 2017.
- 12. As required by the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. § 1052(c)(2), the CID contained a Notification of Purpose apprising LOCM of the nature of the conduct under investigation and applicable provisions of law.
- 13. The CID sought from LOCM materials that may be relevant to the Bureau's investigation and that were not already in the Bureau's possession, including responses to interrogatories, written reports, documents, and tangible things.
- 14. In July 2017, counsel for the Bureau and LOCM met and conferred about the CID at the Bureau's offices in Washington, D.C., in accordance with 12 C.F.R.

§ 1080.6(c), and the Bureau agreed to modify the CID to extend the return date for a number of requests.

15. LOCM has made partial productions, but has refused to fully comply with the CID.

- 16. As of the date of this Petition, LOCM has not fully complied with the CID.
- 17. LOCM has therefore failed to fully comply with the CID duly served upon it. Accordingly, the Bureau requests the relief set forth below.

WHEREFORE, the Bureau requests:

- An order directing LOCM to show cause why it should not be required to comply with the CID;
- 2. An order directing LOCM to fully comply with the CID; and
- 3. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 25, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

KRISTEN DONOGHUE Enforcement Director

DEBORAH MORRIS Deputy Enforcement Director

ALUSHEYI WHEELER Assistant Litigation Deputy

/s/ E. Vanessa Assae-Bille E. VANESSA ASSAE-BILLE, NY Bar 5165501 (pro hac vice pending) JEHAN A. PATTERSON (JA8306)

Enforcement Attorneys Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection 1700 G Street, NW

Case 7:19-cv-01732 Document 1 Filed 02/25/19 Page 5 of 5

Washington, DC 20552 Phone: 202-435-7264 Email: elisabeth.assae-bille@cfpb.gov

Attorneys for Petitioner Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION,	
Petitioner, v. LAW OFFICES OF CRYSTAL MORONEY, P.C.,	Case No.
Respondent.	
•	POSED] SHOW CAUSE
The Petitioner, the Bureau of Consumer	Financial Protection (Bureau), having filed a
Petition to Enforce Civil Investigative Demand	(CID) against Respondent Law Offices of Crystal
Moroney, the Court having considered the Petit	ion and documents filed in support thereof, and
good cause having been shown, the Court being	fully advised in this matter, and there being no
just cause for delay:	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that on	, at, or as soon
thereafter as the parties can be heard, the Respo	ndent shall appear before the Honorable
, United States Distr	ict Judge, in Courtroom, located at
, to show o	cause, if there be any, why an Order Compelling
Compliance with CID should not be granted in	accordance with the Petition filed by the Bureau.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:	
1. A copy of this Order, together with the petition and its exhibits, shall be served in	
accordance with Rule 4(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 12 U.S.C. § 5562(e)(2)	
upon Respondent within 21 days of the date tha	t this Order is served upon counsel for the

Bureau, or as soon thereafter as possible. Pursuant to Rule 4.1(a), the Court hereby appoints E. Vanessa Assae-Bille, or any other person designated by the Bureau, to effect service in this case.

- 2. Proof of service completed pursuant to paragraph 1, above, shall be filed with the Clerk as soon as practicable.
- 3. Because the file in this case reflects a *prima facie* showing that the investigation is being conducted for a legitimate purpose, that the inquiries may be relevant to that purpose, that the information sought is not already within the Bureau's possession, and that the administrative steps required by the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 and its implementing regulations have been followed, the burden of coming forward to oppose enforcement of the CID has shifted to Respondent.
- 4. If Respondent has any defense to present or opposition to the petition, such defense or opposition shall be made in writing and filed with the Clerk and copies served on counsel for the Bureau 21 days after Respondent has been served with this Order pursuant to paragraph 1. The Bureau may file a reply memorandum to any opposition within 14 days after Respondent has filed any opposition.
- 5. At the show cause hearing, only those issues brought into controversy by the responsive pleadings and factual allegations supported by the Assae-Bille declaration will be considered. Any uncontested allegation in the petition with be considered admitted.
- 6. Respondent may notify the Court, in writing filed with the Clerk and served on counsel for the Bureau, at least 14 days prior to the date set for the show cause hearing, that Respondent has no objection to enforcement of the CID. Respondent's appearance at the hearing will then be excused.

Case 7:19-cv-01732 Document 1-1 Filed 02/25/19 Page 3 of 3

Dated:	
	U.S. District Judge